Appeals for the District of Columbia within 15 days of its issuance.

Dated: June 29, 1995.

Edward J. Kuhlmann,

Administrative Law Judge. [FR Doc. 95–18696 Filed 7–28–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DT-M

International Trade Administration [A-580-008]

Color Television Receivers From the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On December 23, 1994, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on color television receivers (CTVs) from the Republic of Korea. The review covers four manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise and the period April 1, 1993, through March 31, 1994. Based on petitioners' withdrawal of requests for review, the Department previously terminated the review of three additional manufacturers/exporters.

We have determined that one of the four manufacturers/exporters being reviewed made no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review. The remaining three manufacturers/exporters failed to respond to our request for information.

Although we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results, no comments were submitted. However, these final results reflect a change in the margin we assigned Samsung in the preliminary results of review. Because Samsung had no shipments of subject merchandise during the period of review, we preliminarily assigned Samsung the margin (0.37 percent) calculated for the most recent period (1990–91) in which it had shipments of subject merchandise to the United States. However, pursuant to a remand ordered by the Court of International Trade (CIT) (see United Electronic Workers of America, et al. v. United States, Consolidated Court No. 93-11-00719, July 5, 1994), we have determined that Samsung's margin for the last administrative review (1990-91) in which it had shipments of subject

merchandise to the United States was 0.47 percent. See, Color Television Receivers from the Republic of Korea; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 60 FR 35895 (July 12, 1995). While these final results reflect the change in Samsung's margin from 0.37 to 0.47 percent, Samsung's current cash deposit rate remains unchanged at zero percent, reflecting the fact that Samsung's margin remains de minimis. EFFECTIVE DATE: July 31, 1995. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Hanley or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230;

telephone: (202) 482–5253. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 7, 1994, the Department published (59 FR 16615) a notice of 'Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on CTVs from the Republic of Korea (49 FR 18336, April 30, 1984) for the period April 1, 1993, through March 31, 1994 (eleventh review). We received a timely request for review from the United Electronic Workers of America, Independent (formerly the Independent Radionic Workers of America), the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, the International Union of Electronic, Electrical, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers, AFL-CIO, and the Industrial Union Department, AFL-CIO, petitioners in this proceeding. On May 12, 1994, the Department published a notice of initiation (59 FR 24683) covering the following seven manufacturers/exporters: Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung International, Inc. (Samsung); Cosmos Electronics Manufacturing, Ltd. (Cosmos); Quantronics Manufacturing, Ltd. (Quantronics); Tongkook General Electronics, Inc. (Tongkook); Daewoo Electronics Co., Ltd., and Daewoo Electronics Corp. of America, Inc. (Daewoo); Goldstar Electronics International, Inc., Goldstar Co., Ltd., and Goldstar of America, Inc. (Goldstar); and Samwon Electronics, Ltd (Samwon). On May 23, 1994, petitioners submitted a timely withdrawal of their request for review of Goldstar. Pursuant to 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5) the Department terminated the review of Goldstar on June 29, 1994 (59 FR 33486). On June 29, and August 22, 1994, petitioners submitted additional requests to

terminate the reviews of Daewoo and Samwon, respectively. Pursuant to 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5), the Department terminated the reviews of Daewoo and Samwon on December 23, 1994 (59 FR 66292). The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act).

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review include CTVs, complete and incomplete, from the Republic of Korea. This merchandise is currently classified under item numbers 8528.10.80, 8529.90.15, 8529.90.20, and 8540.11.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). Since the order covers all CTVs regardless of HTS classification, the HTS subheading is provided for convenience and for the U.S. Customs Service purposes. Our written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive. The period of review is April 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994.

Final Results of Review

Samsung reported, and the Department verified through the U.S. Customs Service, that Samsung made no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review. Therefore, Samsung's current cash deposit rate will remain unchanged. This rate is zero percent because the margin assigned to Samsung in the most recent administrative review in which it had shipments of subject merchandise (0.47 percent) was a *de minimis* rate.

Since Cosmos, Quantronics, and Tongkook failed to respond to our questionnaire, we have determined that, in accordance with section 776(c) of the Tariff Act, the use of best information available (BIA) is appropriate. Our regulations provide that we may consider whether a party refuses to provide information in determining what is the best information available (19 CFR 353.37(b)). Department practice dictates that when a company fails to provide the information requested in a timely manner, the Department considers the company uncooperative and generally assigns that company the higher of (a) the highest rate assigned to any company in any previous review or the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, or (b) the highest rate for a responding company with shipments during the period of review. See Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From the

Federal Republic of Germany, et al., 56 FR 31692 (July 11, 1994). See also Allied-Signal Aerospace Co. v. United States, 996 F.2d 1195, 1191–92 (Fed. Cir. 1993), Krupp Stahl AG et al v. United States, 822 F. Supp. 789 (CIT May 26, 1993). Therefore, we have used the highest rate from the LTFV investigation, which was 16.57 percent, in determining the margins for these three companies for this review.

Therefore, consistent with the preliminary results, the final results for the period April 1, 1993, through March 31, 1994, are as follows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Percent margin
Samsung	10.47 16.57 16.57 16.57

¹No shipments or sales subject to this review. Rate from last segment of the proceeding in which the firm had shipments/sales.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisement instructions on each exporter directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of CTVs entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be those rates established above; (2) For previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) If the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) If neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rates will be the "all others" rate of 13.90 percent established in the LTFV investigation (49 FR 18336). These deposit requirements will remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: July 20, 1995.

Paul L. Joffe,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–18741 Filed 7–28–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

International Trade Administrative

[A-583-009]

Color Television Receivers, Except for Video Monitors, From Taiwan; Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from Proton Electronic Industrial Co. (Proton), the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a review for that respondent on May 15, 1995, for the period April 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995. On July 13, 1995, Proton filed a timely withdrawal of its request for this review. Because there were no requests for review from other interested parties we are terminating this review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 31, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Kugelman or Michael J. Heaney, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–0649 or 482–4475, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 30, 1984, the Department published in the Federal Register (49 FR 18336) the antidumping duty order on color television receivers, except for video monitors, from Taiwan. On April 4, 1995, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 17052) the opportunity to request an administrative review. On May 1, 1995, Proton requested a review for the period April 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995. On May 15, 1995, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(c), we initiated an administrative review for the period April 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995 (60 FR 25885).

We had initiated a review for Proton covering sales of color television receivers, except for video monitors, for the period April 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995. We received a timely request for withdrawal of this request from Proton. Because there were no requests for review from other interested parties, we are terminating this review in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(3).

This termination notice is in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(3).

Dated: July 25, 1995.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance. [FR Doc. 95–18742 Filed 7–28–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

International Trade Administration

Determination Not to Revoke Antidumping Duty Orders and Findings Nor to Terminate Suspended Investigations

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Determination Not to Revoke Antidumping Duty Orders and Findings Nor to Terminate Suspended Investigations.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is notifying the public of its determination not to revoke the antidumping duty orders and findings nor to terminate the suspended investigations listed below. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 31, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Panfeld or the analyst listed under Antidumping Proceeding at: Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 482–4737.